UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BENJAMIN T. REED,

Plaintiff,

15-CV-6401-CJS-JWF DECISION AND ORDER

v.

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has filed a pro se Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and requested the appointment of counsel. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court may appoint counsel to assist indigent litigants, see, e.g., Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Charles Sears Real Estate, Inc., 865 F.2d 22, 23 (2d Cir. 1988), and the assignment of pro bono counsel in civil cases is within the trial Court's discretion. In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1984). In determining whether to assign counsel, the Court considers several factors, including whether the claims seem likely to be substance; whether the pro se Plaintiff is able to investigate the facts concerning his claim; whether the legal issues are complex; and whether there are special reasons why the appointment of counsel would be more likely to lead to a just determination. See Hendricks v. Coughlin, 114 F.3 390, 392 (2d Cir. 1997); Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986).

Additionally, each lawyer — especially those who are admitted to practice in federal court and who therefore are in a position to reap the benefits of such practice — has a professional obligation to provide pro bono services for the poor. See New York Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 6.1. In addition, Rule 83.1(f) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure provides that:

Every member of the bar of this Court who maintains, or whose firm maintains, an office in this District, shall be available upon the Court's request for appointment to represent or assist in the representation of indigent parties. Appointments under this Rule shall be made in a manner such that no Member shall be requested to accept more than one (1) appointment during any twelve (12) month period.

By Order dated September 30, 2016, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, and the Court hereby assigns Bradley Kammholz, Esq. of Kammholz Law, PLLC, probono, to faithfully and diligently represent Plaintiff in this case.

The Clerk of Court shall send a copy of this Order to Mr.

Kammholz along with this Court's Guidelines Governing

Reimbursement from the District Court Fund of Expenses Incurred

by Court Appointed Counsel.¹ The Chief Judge of this Court will

also issue an Order directing PACER to waive its fees so that

This information and the forms are also available on the Court's web site at the Attorney Information link from the home page located at: http://www.nywd.uscourts.gov/pro-bono-program-district-court-fund.

Case 6:15-cv-06401-CJS-JWF Document 42 Filed 10/05/16 Page 3 of 3

pro bono counsel can access any other documents filed in this case that he may need. If any portions of the file in this matter are not available through PACER on the Court's Case Management/Electronic Case Management System, the Clerk of the Court will provide a free copy of any such documents to pro bono counsel upon request.

Plaintiff's attorney is directed to contact the Court by November 7, 2016 to request a status conference to discuss further proceedings in the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JONATHAN W. FELDMAN United States Magistrate Judge

Rochester, New York DATED: October 5, 2016